

BF 181 573 Spearman, Charles Edward
The proof and measurment of association between two things

bF 181 573



DEPARTMENT OF PSYCHOLOGY LIBRARY UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation

80 TOTAL SECTION OF THE PARTY OF T Later Clare Control Control Control Control THE PROOF AND MEASUREMENT OF ASSOCIATION BLIWEIN TWO THIRDS. By: C. Spearman,

SECTION, IN THE PART OF TAXABLE PROPERTY OF TAXABLE PARTY. Personal States, Spines, Travel Spines, contrary lines, or the state of

COMMERCIAL STREET, STREET, STR. SALES AND LAND SHOP STREET, that the religion in orthograph or the last of the las WHILE TAXABLE MALE BOOK SALES. The SERVICE AND AND ADDRESS OF ADMINISTRATION.

-25.2+ -7.25-

provided the parentalogy, with replayed the

THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON.

MET I SERVICE OF STREET, AND SERVICE AND S

Regulierants of a year adjusts.

THE RESIDENCE AND ADDRESS.

BF 181 S73

- iron ann Jone J. Day 001.15-1904

1 1 1 1 1 1

G12060 4.7.55

THE PROOF AND MEASUREMENT OF ASSOCIATION BETWEEN TWO THINGS

STYCHALL .

The property

Chair Mary

Lynn

By C. Spearman

and use Vermed els if his account sections in a second set of a second set of a second set of a second set of a

CONTENTS.

NAME AND ADDRESS OF TAXABLE

STREET, STREET, STREET, STREET,

AN AUT BUNGSTON THAT

3

LESSEE	All that we like at the state of the littles or to restrain	Page						
Introduction.								
Part	I. Elementary correlation, and "accidental	17						
1000	deviations."	2						
11 16	1. Requirements of a good method.	2						
7	2. Standard methods explained.	6						
	3. Comparison by rank.	8						
-	4. Auxiliary methods.	11						
Part	II. Correction of "systematic deviations."	17						
-0.00	1. Systematic deviations generally.	17						
No.	2. "Attenuation" by errors.	18						
CARRO	3. Limits of associative problems.	21						
1000	4. "Constriction" and "Dilation. "	23						
	5. "Distortion."	24						
	6. Criticism of prevalent working methods.	25						
	7. Number of cases desirable for an experime	ent. 28						

INTRODUCTORY.

All knowledge - beyond that of bare isolated occurrence-deals with uniformities. Of the latter, some few have a claim to be considered absolute, such as mathematical implications and mechanical laws. But the vast majority are only partial; medicine does not teach that smallpox is inevitably escaped by vaccination, but that it is so generally; biology has not shown that all animals require organic food, but that nearly all do so; in daily life, a dark sky is no proof that it will rain, but merely a warning; even in morality, the solt categorical imperative alleged by Kant was the sinfulness of telling a lie, and few thinkers since have admitted so much as this to be valid universally. In psychology, more perhaps than in any other science, it is hard to find absolutely inflexible coincidences; occasionally, indeed, there appear uniformities sufficiently regular to be practically treated as laws, but infinitely the greater part of the observations hitherto recorded concern only more or less pronounced tendencies of one event or attribute to accompany another.

Under these circumstances, one might well have expected that the evidential evaluation and precise mensuration of tendencies had long been the subject of exhaustive investigation

SHILLT OUR MERCHAN CHARLEST OF LARGE LABOR CO. TALLING

running .b. t

CONTENTO

	THE CO.	
	-	
	7	Introduction.
		for the sound of the state of t
	- 0	. 8 . î.*
	S	ا. روستاه مرد عو مردس دول در.
	5	2. standard rotunds saidabhed.
	3	A Community of the rack.
	11	A. Agellier crailing.
	17	'
	VI	. Opens the love the energia.
	al.	E. "It entution" is proces.
	I.D	.8 oldor evit common it is.
	S	" . roit fil b ' roi eirthea" .
	L (1	11.11.15.01.10.11.11
	35	
8	104	". upli ni date i tir bio for "n e mi

. YHDEDUJCERI

 and now formed one of the earliest sections in a beginner's psychological course. Instead, we find only a general naive ignorance that there is anything about it requiring to be learnt. One after another, laborious series of experiments are executed and published with the purpose of demonstrating some connection between two events, wherein the otherwise learned psychologist reveals that his art of proving and measuring correspondence has not advanced beyond that of lay persons. The consequence has been that the significance of the experiments is not at all rightly understood, nor have any definite facts been elicited that may be either confirmed or refuted.

The present article is a commencement at attempting to remedy this deficiency of scientific correlation. With this view, it will be strictly confined to the needs of practical workers, and all theoretical mathematical demonstrations will be ommitted; it may, however, be said that the relations stated have already received a large amount of empirical verification. Great thanks are due from me to Professor Haussdorff and to Dr. G. Lipps, each of whom have supplied a waeful theorem in polynomial probability; the former has also very kindly given valuable advice concerning the proof of the important formulae for elimi-

nation of "systematic deviations."

At the same time, and for the same reason, the meaning and working of the various formulae have been explained sufficiently, it is hoped, to render them readily usable even by those whose knowledge of mathematics is elementary. The fundamental procedure is accompanied by simple imaginary examples, while the more advanced parts are illustrated by cases that have actually occurred in my personal experience. For more abundant and positive exemplification, the reader is requested to refer to the under cited research, which is entirely built upon the principles and mathematical relations here laid down.

In conclusion, the general value of the methodics recommended is emphasized by a brief critcism of the best correlational work hitherto made public, and also the important question is discussed as to the number of cases required for an experimental series.

Part 1. ELEMENTARY CORRELATION AND "ACCIDENTAL DEVIATION."

1. Requirements of a Good Method of Correlation.

(a) Quantitative expression.

The most fundamental requisite is to be able to measure our observed correspondence by a plain numerical symbol. There

^{1. &}quot;General Intelligence" determined and measured, to appear in a subsequent number of this Journal.

to the control of the

- D T introduction of Louisian Control of Co

1. NOT A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

a. "compared the series of a compared to the later of the compared to the series of the compared to the compar

is no reason whatever to be satisfied either with vague generalities such as "large, ""medium, ""small, " or, on the other

hand, with complicated tables and compilations.

The first person to see the possibility of this immense advance seems to have been Galton, who, in 1886, writes: "the length of the arm is said to be correlated with that of the leg, because a person with a long arm has usually a long leg and conversely." He then proceeds to devise the required symbol in such a way that it conveniently ranges from 1, for perfect correspondence, to 0 for entire independence, and on again to -1 for perfect correspondence inversely. By this means, correlations became comparable with other ones found either in different objects or by different observers; they were at last capable of leading to further conclusions, speculative and practical; in a word, they now assumed a scientific character.

Mathematically, it is clear that innumerable other systems of values are equally concievable, similarly ranging from 1 to 0. One such, for instance, has been worked out and extensively used by myself (seeing 15 ff). It therefore becomes necessary

to discuss their relative merits.

(b) The significance of the quantity.

Galton's particular system is defined and most advantageously distinguished from all the others by the important property, that if any number of arms, for instance, be collected which are all any amount,)(a shove the mean, then the corresponding legs will average rx above the mean (with a middle or "quartile" deviation(2) of a vi-r2); where a the quartile variation of the arms, a that of the legs, and r is the measure of the correlation.

But another- theoretically far more valuable - property may conceivable attach to one emeng the possible systems of values expressing the correlation; this is, that a measure might be afforded of the hidden underlying cause of the variations. Suppose, for example, that A and B both detive their money from variable dividends and each gets 1/x th. of his total from some source common to both of them. Then evidently their respective incomes will have a certain tendency to rise and fall simultaneously; this correspondence will in any of the possible systems of values always be some function 1/x, but in only one of them will it actually be itself 6 1/x; in such a favored case, if A and B get, say, 20% of their respective incomes from the common source, the correlation between these two imcomes will also show itself as 0.20; and conversely, if A's income happens to be found correlated with that of B by 0.20, them

^{1. &}quot;Preceedings Reyal Society of London", Vols XL and XLV.

^{2.} Commonly, but misleadingly, termed the "probable error."

The contraction of the contracti

th the the line of responding the contract of the contract of

in) he initeres to the least to.

distinut he free less of the rest of the fet of the fet

m nother theoretically far ore all ble - rate of companies to the side of the constant of the same of the case of the constant of the constant

^{1. &}quot;roue din a ownland ty of ter n', 'ols is ad KLV.

^{2.} Commonly, ut mi in direct, "re 1 to proper e errer."

there is a likelihood that 0.20 of A's income coincides with 0.20 of B, leaving to either 0.80 disposable independently. The observed correlation thus becomes the direct expression of the relative amount of underlying influences tending for and against the correspondence.

In the above imagined instance, this desirable expressiveness belongs to the same above system of values proposed by Galton (and elabotated by Pearson). But this instance is exceptional and fundamentally different from the normal type. Evidently, A and B need not necessarily derive exactly the same proportion of their incomes from the common sourse; A might get his 0.20 while B got some totally different share; in which case, it will be found that the correlation is always the geometrical mean between the two shares. Let B be induced to put all his income into the common fund, then A need only put in 0.20 - 0.04, to maintain the same correlation as before; since the geometrical mean between 0.04 and 1 is equal to 0.20.

Now, in psychological, as in most other actual correspondences, A and B are not to be regarded as in the fixed bisection of our first case, but rather as in the labile inter-accommodation of our second case. Hence A, in order to be correlated with B by 1/x, must be considered to have only devoted 1/x2 (instead of 1/x) of his arrangement to this purpose and therefore still have for further arrangement 10-1/x2 which will enable an independent correlation to arise of / 1-1/x in Inshort, not Galton's measure of correlation, but the square thereof, indicates the relative influence of the factors in A tending towards any observed correspondence as compared with the remaining components of A tending in other directions.

(c) Accuracy.

From this plurality of possible systems of values for the measure of the correlation must be carefully distinguished the variety of ways of calculating any one of them. These latter again, have various advantages and disadvantages, of thich the principal is their respective degrees of liability to "accidental deviation."

For, though the correlation between two series of data is an absolute mathematical fact, yet its whole real value lies in our being able to assume a likelihood of further cases taking a similar direction; we want to consider our results as a truly representative sample. Any one at all accustomed to original investigation must be aware how frequently phenomena will group themselves in such a manner as to convincingly suggest the existence of some law - when still more prolonged experiment reveals that the observed uniformity was due to pure hazard and has no tendency whatever to further repeat itself.

Luckily, this one great source of fallacy can be adequately

A THE WEST COURT OF ST TAKE MELLINGS OF THE

(in red) (in the file) (in corr 1) (in the file) (in corr 1) (in cor

. re . we . ie)

to control to the con

riet upobe se seu milios de sorma anna ano mina , dinsei

The such beautiful ingo of extended by are processly

eliminated, owing to the fact that such accidental deviations are different in every individual case (hence are often called the "variable errors") and occur quite impartially in every direction according to the known laws of probability. The consequence is that they eventually more or less completely compensate one another, and thus finally present an approximately true result. Such elimination, however, must always remain theoretically imcomplete, since no amount of chance coincidence is absolutely impossible; but beyond certain limits it becomes so extremely unlikely that for practical purposes we can afford to neglect it. When a person loses 14 times run-ning at pitch-and-toss, he can reckon that such a series would not occur by mere accident one in 9,999 times, and consequently he will feel justified in attributing the coincidence to some constant disturbing influence. Similarly, to estimate the evidential value of any other observed uniformity, we only require to know how nearly the odds against chance coincidence have approached to some such standard maximum as 9,999 to 1. But, as any standard must always be more or less arbitrarysome thinking it too lenient and others unnecessarily severeit is usual to employ a formula giving not the maximum but the middle deviation or "probable error". We may then easily find the probability of mere hazard from the following comparative table:

If the observed correlation divided by the phobable -- 1 error be.

2. then the frequency of occur-

ence by mere hazard = 1/6 1/23 1/143 1/1250 1/19000 Now, the smallness of this probable error depends principally upon the number of cases observed, but also largely upon the mathematical method of correlation. Though a faultiness in the latter respect can theoretically be made good by increasing the range of the observations, yet such increase is not always possible, and, besides, has other grave disadvantages which will be discussed later on. Other things being equal, therefore, the best method is that one which gives the least probable error For the benefit of the reader, this probable error should always be plainly stated; nothing more is required than a rough approzimation; for while it is highly important to distinguish between a deduction worth, say, 0.9999 of perfect certainty and one worth only 0.75, it would be a mere splitting of straws to care whether a particular experiment works out to a validity of 0.84 or to one of 0.85.

33 44

(d) Ease of application.

^{1.} In the proper use of this expression.

mat to the stable of the same of the same of the ידי על "ידיד לה ידי לי וידיל אי יידיל וידיל אדי ידי וידיל או אי אי לי וידיל אדי ידי וידיל או אי אי אי אי אי אי the 'most and of the state of the second of the second e . itidado e la come en el pere en outificio community of the land the same with the community to the community of the -1-1-10 on an enemand of last limit and the control of צור ים שוחד יות בדי ביות וויים או היות מו ביות ericki, (1 - to a language to the first transfer to the control of and the second s פשת שובות לה מכ ב פי ב . יישות היות במן ביותר מי בו פני בער not could be to colline me in 1879 the , une un eowently be dis en legitle to the state of the partition of the state on constant it is in in mance. Eight and to be in a Time! ... on o or terring midre in o or terring and the second of the second o . Par PUP, ? an abatana ta on de anne que e anne estagra van שני פוני פוני אווי די דעני אווי די דער and minimum of the civil and a cit to o. I was at the the state and in the many of the state of The though of the men have me for her me to the comment and : Id vij

rolt for a form of 11

then the remains of com-

. m i upil um 10 eur (b)

l. In the roate ass while ever ton.

The most accurate ways of calculation are generally somewhat difficult and slow to apply; often, too there occur circumstances under which they cannot be used at all. Hence in addition to a standard method, which must be used for finally establishing the principal results, there is urgent need, also of auxiliary methods capable of being employed under the most varied conditions and with the utmost facility.

But here a word of warning appears not out of place. For such auxiliary methods are very numerous and their results, owing to accidnets, will diverge to some extent from one another; so that the unwary, "self-suggested" experimenter may often be led unconsciously - but none the less unfairly - to pick out the one most favorable for his particular point, and thereby confer upon his work an unequivocality to which it is by no means entitled. Any departure from the recognized standard methods are only legitimate, either when absolutely necessary, or for mere preliminary work, or for indicating comparatively unimportant relations.

2. Standard Methods Explained.

(a) Correlations between variables that can be measured

quantitatively.

This may be regarded as the normal type of correlation. Its standard method of calculation is that discovered by Bravais, in 1846, and shown by Pearson in 1896, to be the best possible. Pearson terms this method that of "Product moments."

The formula appears most conveniently expressed as follows:

$$r = \frac{\text{Sxy}}{\sqrt{\text{Sx}^2 \cdot \text{Sy}^2}}$$

where x and y are the deviations of any pair of characteristics from their respective medians,

xy is the product of the above two values for any single individual.

Sxy is the sum of such products for all the individuals, Sx2 is the sum of the squares of all the various values of x,

Sy² is similarly for y and r is the required correlation.

SERVICE AND SOURCE TRAIN

A simple example may make this method clearer. Suppose that it was desired to correlate acuteness of sight with that of hearing, and that for this puppose five persons were tested as to the greatest dostance at which they could read and hear a standard alphabet and sound respectively. Suppose the results to be;

on the same of the

^{1. &}quot;Memoires par divers savants" T, IX, Paris, pp255-332

^{2. &}quot;Phil. Trans., R.S., London" Vol.CLXXXVII, A, p.164.

- where the Tenner of and offerent of the tenter into the east - Proceedings of the contract of the same line and the contract of the contrac the section of the section and the section of the section of northelite in colored a realite, come or remote net, name of THE PART THE PARTY OF A THE LOCAL PROPERTY.

. NATION OF THE SAME STREET, SELECTION TO DESCRIPT OF THE . . I. Word of the state of the ned eres improved on the carte title , and the cold will er en ken en 'ben not - [1 , tenna ab den a ; mil omn or - their real and the more and - 1 no married and the the the a second of the second of and the state of the second se भारतहरू के त्रिक क्षावर में एक . कर्म कि एक कि applying to the momor of vilariums.

. Intelled should treasent . .

really and the contract of the ACRES TO A THE PARTY NAMED IN into our on the state of the state of source and the

record to the contract of the ATT THE . THE SHOWING IN SECTION OF THE LOSS OF THE SECTION.

: reflet que beneau e suta mobile a. - of il - sec ef-

and the first of t . Bill To V' July 67 - Lu Je

-or energy rather with a new Annual and the removed on the 0.40

ישר זה או עד ל נעיף בדוווולני נד בנו בוח וחומולנים. .. To expert a color of the enderson of the color of the T TOT CHARLETTE BE AND

unplaced one because up at a fine

studies remain any order to be all the surviver algorithms. They come en paradin til 12 sa summi les summer announcement mes summer announcement mes summer announcement announcement and of military and accepted . The close one order of the readers are

^{1. &#}x27;We gare on a divert the order 1, 1 , to the notation of

Person	Sight	Hear	ing		
A III	6ft.	6f	t.	TEAL MALLEY	
B	7	11	一月月月 日本中五年		- 10 Sept 1
C	9 (median) 12	E DESWOOD	T.Linkinskin	Do Add Andres
D	11		(median)		with deter-
E	14	8	MILES TENE	Inches like	Aurol
APPAREL OF THE		x y	xy	x ²	y ²
then, we get		3 - 4	+ 12	9	16
,	B -	2 + 1	- 2	4 -	10
		0 + 2	0	Ō	4
		2 0	Ŏ	4	0
SHOWING PROPERTY.		5 - 2	- 10	25	4
STREET, SQUARE,			10	20	2019
seen Divis Li a	scientist here	mark to the	(-412		1
SINISIANI ON		Sx			2 25
The second section		DX.	A = 1 - TC	DA = 44	2 Sy = 25

so that $r = \frac{+12 - 12}{\sqrt{42 \times 25}} = 0$, and there, thus, is no correspondence, direct or inverse.

The "probable error" between any obtained correlation and the really existing correspondence has been determined by Pearson, as being "with sufficient accuracy when a fairly large number of cases have been taken,

$$= 0.674506 \sqrt{\frac{1-r^2}{n(1+r^2)}}$$

For discussion of correlation between characteristics whose distribution differs considerably from the normal probability curve as regards either "range" or "shewness," reference may be made to the works below. It may be remarked that the method of "product moments" is valid, whether or not the distribution follow the hormal law of frequency, so long as the "regression" is linear.

(b) Correlation between characteristics that can not be meas-

ured quantitavely.

In the example quoted by Galton, of correspondence between the length of arm and that of leg, it may be noted that the correspondence is proportional quantitatively; a long arm has a tendency to be accompanied by a leg not only long, but long to the same degree. Now, in many cases, such proportionality is by the nature of things excluded; a printed work is possibly remembered better than one heard; but, hevertheless, we cannot in accordance with the preceding formula, ascertain whether degrees of visuality are correlated to retentiveness of memory, seeing that in the former case there do not exist any degrees, a word being simply either seen or not seen. Perhaps even

G. Lipps: "Die Theorie der Collectivgegenstände," Wundt's

Phil. Stud., Vol. XVII.

^{1.} Udny Ytle: "Proc. R.S. London," Vol. LX, p477
Pearson: "Phil. Trans. R.S. London." Vol.CLXXXV, 1A,p71;
Vol CLXXXVI, 1 A, p343, and Vol. CXCI A, p.229.

10 that r = \frac{12 - 12}{\cdot 22 \cdot 25} = 0. \text{ Ind tilere, in no cor-

The 'nrobable error' between in obtained correction in the really extrains corrected by a storal or second the second of the sec

$$= 0.574506 \times \frac{1 - x^2}{1 - (1 - x^2)}$$

or discussion of correlation between clara teristics

if tribation differs censile ably from the normal arcachilicy
carve as requested in all or laborates, referred

may be ade to the very cetter. It are in the the of arcache of a count of the cetter is very return to the distribution for or and the distribution for or and the area ion is the area.

Correct tion etien che to isti-s filt in ret e

ured quantitively.

If the expluse ucted is alternated to the explosion tendent the law that is a contract the contract of the

teliance to separate ried by an article in the toth of a legar of thing and the second of th

7. Lions: 'Die Treense er .0 12. . 10 0: Tun., Pr. 1. tu., Vol. (/II.

more numerous are those cases where proportionality does indeed exist, but practically will not admit of being measured; for instance, it is probable that conscientiousness is to some extent a hereditary quality, yet we cannot well directly determine whether brothers tend to possess precisely the same amount of it, owing to the fact that we cannot exactly measure it.

In all such cases we must confine ourselves to counting the frequencies of coexistence. We can easily find out how often seen and spoken words are respectively remembered and forgotten. It has proved quite feasible to divide the children of a school generally into "conscientious" and "non-conscientious," and then to measure how much brothers tend to be in the same division; When we have proved this simple association, we may provisionally assume correlation of quantity also; that is to say, if the "conscientious," generally speaking, have a particular degree of tendency to passess brothers likewise "con scientious," then boys with excessively tender scruples will have the same degree of tendency to possess brothers with similarly excessive tenderness, while those with only a moderate amount of virtue will be thus correlated with brothers also of only moderate virtue; further, the ethical resemblance may be expected to repeat itself in cousins, etc. only reduced in proportion as the kinship is diminished.

For measurement of this non-proportional association, a standard method, which may be termed that of "cross multiples," has been elaborated by Sheppard Bramley-Moore, Filon, Lee, and Pearson. The formula is, unfortunately, too long and complicated to be usefully quoted in this place. It will be found in the under cited work together with its probable error as determined by Pearson. In practice, it will generally have to be replaced by one of the more convenient

methods to be next described.

3. Comparison by Rank.

This method of "cross multiples" is not only difficult and tedious of application, but also it gives a probable error nearly double that of "Product moments."

Total Part Artists

Now, it can often be altogether escaped in the case of quantitiesnnot admitted absolute measurement, by substituting instead comparison. This other way will be discussed at some length, as it has been largely used by myself and is believed chiefly responsible for some successful experiments. All characteristics may be collated from two quite distinct aspects: either (as in example of visual and auditory acuteness) by actual

^{1. &}quot;Phil. Trans." Vol. CXCII, A, p.141. 2. "Phil. Trans." Vol. CXCV A, pp.2-7

^{3.} Phil. Trans." Vol. CXCV A, 10-14.

THE THEO TELLULOTESTICS STORT WHEN ONLY ON STORE STOR agencies intelled to bloke in Tile alignificate son toric orall are and an all annual training that the contract of the contract of server a narrellanty (willer, got an common well alterning tracemore pur charger, agains of the against tention will where the same summer or the first out of more it in summer .

THE TELEVISION OF A PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF -207 con broken as a forth means are about radion the green or weekinds and so take as although attachment attachment and it was you " เมา วิจุกม กระจะกับการกา " เพรา แกม และกับ รากา รายเลย กรม มี วิจากา and the same of th are a ment of the state of the apt more compared to the party of the party -to a syan . This is a state of the contract of the time of the contract of th most agreement a superior common or terminate to a separate contract artis of a specifical annimon of promises for remain order arts made of white a give of the condition of the to quie eradicae discussioness and alle gettle to invest and a compare over factor of a configuration of the energy to remark there is no are , and the one is a remove the man-. ion in the contract of the contract

To endominant of the ten-court need - or remember will whenever solved a trace of the terror that it began welltigen, " and hour with entire of the Warners, trusten - Were, "alum, and passer. 'm its all and and and and II . nowing stiff or business allegans on the neglections you would -more all off contract for motion of the motion of the first of the fi Panishan to a second of the second of the second of the second of the second . THE I'M HAW I SEE NO OF ALBORRE

d. Commercial of the

on Atmilting In the all the lowers to lower at a The total of the state of the s ". orp to the maner! To Four widgen

To car attend on the second of The sold will be a property of the sold of many to prosper to the fact that the many state of montant to the Francis of the property of the - Jan a Tar at a star at the part of the p And to calculate our sky of the collision of the collision of the collision is for the amountaine continue or the area of a linear of any

^{*.} mai, stem. " 021, con . m-14.

mensumation, or else by order of merit; we might say that a student, A, obtained 8,000 marks in an examination, while B only got 6,000; or instead, we might say that A was third out of 100 candidates, while B was only 20th. Precisely the same method of calculation may be again used in the latter case, simply substituting the inverse ranks, 97, 89, etc., for the performances, 8,000, 6,000, etc.

(a) Disadvantages of the Rank method.

In the first place, it may be objected that the observed correlation would then only hold good for persons of the same average differences from one another. For assuming, say, acute sight to be correlated with acute hearing; then the order of merit of A, B and C, as regards sight, is more likely to remain unaltered as regards hearing also, when the difference in their respective powers of vision is extremely marked, than when they are practically equal on the latter head. But the more numerous the persons experimented on, the less will be the average difference of faculty; it might, therefore, be supposed that the correlation would become continually less perfect as the experiments were made more extensive. This, however, would be a fallacy: 100 experimental subjects compared together by "Rank" would on the whole actually show appreciably the same average coffelation as 1,000, provided, that in either case the subjects are selected by chance; the amount of the correlation is not really dependent upon the difference between the grades, but upon the relation of this difference to the mean diviation; and noth of these increase together with the number of subjects; On the other hand, the correlation will undoubtedly diminish if the subjects be all chosen form a more homogeneous class; in a select training school for teachers, for example, general intelligence will throughout show smaller correlation with other qualities, than would be the case in a college for quite average young men of the same age; but this fact applies just as much to comparison by "Measurement."

The next possible objection is that comparison by rank bases itself upon an assumption that all the subjects differ form one another by the same amount, whereas A amy differ form B five times as much as B differs from C. But such an assumption would only take place, if correspondence by rank were considered to be wholly equivalent to that by measurement; no such assumption is made; the two aspects are recognized to be theoretically distinct, but advantage is taken of the fact that they give correlational values sencibly equivalent in amount. Even against the small existing discrepancy amy be set off a deviation of the same order of magnitude which is incurred when using measurement itself, owing to the practical necess-

pelate to the letter are to be appropriately appropriately

ity of throwing the cases into a number of groups.

me the are aren and such that hard hard

and more than the contract of the contract of the contract of a palm in this to be of some book a bent and a linear and which are the state of the stat of its consistence of the constant of the consistence of the the second section and the second comment of the terran and a minerial contract of the contract of the contract of the Togramment, 0.000, E.C.O. 000.

Led Time value on E.C.O. copin methal.

the hi to be complete the wall walle the term of the of mbo on or tandia not all , no to of a wor an . Tande . No time The state of the s There are no secured to the second of the court of the co A THE REST OF STREET Arter of the well of security the tell of the second of the second of grant of the restriction of the state of the the expect of the core are are arter to the core of -c, he show the confirmation of the confirmation of the which is the result of the last of the same of the inand the second of the second o the dorest trans is not recall a sound the cifference of of our article sign to moit with or and the contract of the second לה יו לונח: יו לונח: יו מיני של לה ווו שייני בי ובלו לה יו לה מו שוב וו ב שיו ורכיה; א נא בינה או ווי איים או בינה או The man rest of the second of the second rest of th tome being more of the enter the ining more than the country, The state to the last the last terms of the state of the corr en l'hefner a itim, i'n nli u tim una cin' tyl man also add as more plant to save attended to to a ment of the section of the sect The new possible origination is that comparing the area and and to election out its rest and temperature note its all somes

which starts your A member, those own and at all wellers and - Orthorn for the .T mer - Thing to down to min't nyily b now that the court of the court the normal on that the draft loop they will be a finer and the same of th The June 1 of the time the . The little the time the state of the stat . Think at forther well and which we have been asked that a transfer of the Care transit with the alone of the transfer of revision as there examine on the more every new or to relations when the terminate the city of the city of the city of the city of . TO CARE OF THE TOTAL OF THE STATE OF THE S

The third and only solid objection is that rank affords a theoretically somewhat less full criterion of correspondence than does measurement; and the force, even of this argument, disappears on considering that the two methods give apprecibaly the same correlational values.

(b) Advantages of the "Rank" method.

Me said want transmit man

The chief of these is the large reduction of the "accidental error." In normal frequency curve, the outlying exceptional cases are much more spaced apart than are those nearer to the average; hence, any accident disturbing the position of these exceptional cases will have unduly great effect on the general result of the correlation; and owing to this inequality in the influence of the errors, the latter will not compensate one another with the same readiness as usual. Moreover, it is just these hyper-influential extreme cases where there is most likelihood of accidental errors and where there very frequently prevails a law quite different from that governing the great bulk of the cases. As regards the quantity of this gain by using rank (abstracting from the last mentioned point, which cannot well be estimated in any general manner) there should be no difficulty in calculating it mathematically. siderable amount of empirical evidence, the probable error when using the method of "product mements" with rank appears to become less than two-thirds of that given by the same method with measurement, and therefore only about one-third of that given by the method of "cross multiples."

The next advantage is that rank eliminates any disparity between the two characteristics compared, as regards their general system of distribution; such a disparity is often not intrinsic or in any way relevant, but merely an effect of the particular manner of gaining the measurement. By means of rank, a series presenting the normal frequency curve can be compared on even terms with another series whose curve is entirely different. This cannot well be done when using measurements. (See p.7).

Rank has also the useful property of allowing any two series to be easily and fairly combined into a third composite one.

(c) Conclusion.

From the practical point of view, it is so urgently desirable to obtain the smallest probable error with a given number of subjects, that the method of rank must often have the preference even when we are dealing with two series of measurements properly comparable with one another.

Theoretically, rank is at any rate preferable to such a hybrid and unmeaning correlation as that between essential measurements on the one side and mere arbitrary classification on the other. As the latter occur in most psychological correlations,

a director than yeth or motor open of the piece of any the land call terminateurises by majorate that the terminate glandsoments The second of the form of the second of the the least a serie at ourse of the least of the property of the party o

. hadden formal our to a random Pa (6)

In Tallesson and to add the formation of the same and the per let through against the most I have become o'con more one again. and to a tribe of after a trade of the contract of the contrac James of the section to the section of the section - Mill Colleger Later of sector of properties were the year from to endutied and the person are not an appropriately and the endutied negation of the transfer of the court will be transfer out Change at the state of the stat PARTY OF THE PARTY Outs of the date. . . . The thread the delicine of the late late as Secretarian and real productions are years No. of States ALL SON TO BE TO BUT THE TOTAL THE SOUTH OF LITTLE SOUTH AND THE SECTION OF THE OWNERS OF THE SECTION OF THE PARTY Not trate which the said one of the appropriate to the said of the profile streets and other officers, contains to before out to the 3 " the large of move the part of the transport of Two is ching-ear form or or analysis one the companies of the and the second of the second o

or the party of a special party of the state of the party of the state of when any of the property of the property of the second of without sales of patroness a law : afaithful to sales the terms the said to done to me from the confirmation of the plants. IN DRIVER BROWN AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF we the name of the state of the contract of the state of I are the state of ASSESSMENT OF ANY OF A CONTRACT OF SEASON OF THE SEASON OF TO BE THE TANK OF THE PARTY OF

APPENDED TO THE SELECT OF SELECT AND ADDRESS OF THE PERSON OF THE PERSON

· [TO A SERVICE IN]

named and part of the second of the second contract and another The secondary View of the transfer and their retrieve and internal of and the state of t -entropies - a manufacture of the south of t _ - The and the state of the control of the state of the

all and the second of the seco AND THE THE REST OF THE PARTY OF THE REST OF THE PARTY OF . In I was a set of the proof of the countries of the cou

the only other resource would be to avoid measurements altogether by using the method of "cross multiple." But this trebles the size of the probable error, and therefore renders it necessary that the subjects should be no less than nine, times as numerous; such an enormous increase, even if possible, would generally be accompanied by disadvantages infinitely outweighing the supposed theoretical superiority of method.

The above advantages are still further enhanced whonever dealing with one-sided frequency curves, such as are furnished by most mental tests. For in these cases the great bulk of influence upon the resulting correlation is derived exclusively from the very worst performances and is consequently of a

specially doubtful validity.

In short, correlation by rank, in most cases a desirable procedure, is for short series quite indispenable, rendering them of equal evidential value to much longer ones treated by other ways. Luckily, it is precisely in short series that gradation by rank is practically attainable.

CARRY FORE GOLD TO THE OWN

(4) Auxiliary Methods.

AND NOTICE OF STREET STREET, STREET, ST. DOCUMENT

These, as has been said, are only for use when there is adequate reason for hot employing the above "standard" methods. Any number are devisable. Their resulting correlational values do not quite coincide with those found by the standard says, but nearly enough so for most practical purposes.

(a) Auxiliary methods of Pearson
Several very ingenious and convenient ones are furnished by
im. 1 but all of similar type and requiring the same data as

him, but all of similar type and requiring the same data as that of "cross-multiple." They are therefore for use when the compared events do not admit of direct quantitative correlation. The following appears to combine facility and precision to the greatest degree:

$$r \stackrel{*}{=} \sin \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\sqrt{ad} - \sqrt{bc}}{\sqrt{ad} + \sqrt{bc}}$$

where the two compared series of characteristics, say P and Q are each divided into two (preferably about equal) classes; if the case is one where quantity exists but cannot be absolutely measured, P II will comprise the instances in which P is in manifest deficiency; hut if the compared characteristics essentially exclude quantity, P II becomes the instances where P is

^{1. &}quot;Phia.Trans. R.S.L.," Vol. CXCV, A, pp 1 and 79.

^{2.} They are all refinements of the original formula, r - ad + bo published by Yule, Proc. R.S.L., Vol.LXVI, p.23.

- TYPE A MARS CONTINUE ASSESS OF A LIGHT MASSESS OF THE COME AND Also the ". the same and the leading the Leading and the time of the land . Depoint to training the three the train and the

The same that the same the same that the same the same the same the same the same that the same that the same the same that the rot described to the first of the contract of TO SEE THE TOTAL THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY O relevanteen beginnert mittale son galauters ein min eeganteel The Third which would like the same and the same and the same

and the second of the second o

in hore, co- fire or of the co-and it is the two opins among them I make a transitive for the medically and indigent the control with the control of the control solution to the last of the are

the street and the second of the street and the second of the The state of the s me of the following the total of the total and

La Sin Maria Mill

· 30 - 31 - 3

Plane Train and anti-state and the first of The control of the co The course of an income and a fermion of the contract of the contract of OF MI SEC AN TORONOOF OF TORONOOF ALBERTA TO THE The state of the s

e h . Trong and the company of the c

absent; similarly Q. Then.

CENTREM BY MADE WHICH

DECIMAL DRIVE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR

CARDON SUPPLIES TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY.

a = the number of times that P I is accompanied by Q I b " " P II " Q II c " Q II d " P II " Q II " Q II.

If a + b is not very unequal to c + d, the probable error may be taken at about $1.1/\sqrt{n}$, where n — the number of instances in the whole of P or of Q.

Returning to our previous illustration, suppose that it was desired positively to ascertain the merits of instruction by writing and by word of mouth respectively. Ten series, each consisting of ten printed words, have been successively shown to a class of twenty children, who each time had to write down by memory as many as they could. The experiment was next repeated, but reading the words aloud instead of showing them. Of the 2,000 visual impressions 900 were corectly remembered, while of the same number of auditory ones only 700 were retained.

Call the visual impressions P I
" " auditory " P II
" " remembered " Q I
" " forgotten " Q II

then a - 900, b - 700, c - 1,100, d - 1,300, and

$$r = \sin \frac{\pi}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{900} \times \sqrt{1,300} + \sqrt{700} \times \sqrt{1,100}}{\sqrt{900} \sqrt{1,300} + \sqrt{700} \sqrt{1,100}} = 0.16$$

the probable error then comes to 1.1/4,000 - nearly 0.02, or about 1/8 of the above correlation; so that the latter would not occur by mere chance once in 100,00 times.

We thus see that there is at any rate good prima facie evidence of some superiority on the part of the visual sense. Also, if the experiment has been fairly executed and adequately described, any subsequent verification under sufficiently similar conditions, by other experimenters, should result in a concordant correlation, probably between 0.04 and 0.28.

Moreover, we have obtained a direct estimate of the importance of this apparent superiority of the visual sense; for the square of the correlation amounts to 0.025; so that of the various causes here tending to make the children remember some words better than others, the difference of sense impressed comes to about one fortieth part (see p. 4).

^{1.} More accurately, $\sin 0.1686 \pi (1 - r^2) \sqrt{\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d}}$

1/2

me to alded to the to the part of the and the The state of the s

we do not not be the second of - District of the state of the -or the state of t a or owner there were our own rate world on the profession to the contract of the contrac for an intringue of the color of the color on risen to be a confidence of the second A TOWNS AND A TEMPORAL OF A DESCRIPTION OF A PROPERTY AND A SECOND PROPERTY ASSECTION war with fir your uper problem to the or the original . HOUT ST

I " III i i i i i an rer TWO VEDICE Link Heller & M

מו ב וויים ול יורו ייים וויים ול מו לווים למו לווים למו ביים ולמו ביים למו לווים למו ביים למו ביים למו ביים למו

- Ive sight that some start on the order of the contract of th -th is from the born being a time and out the from the best ti with the training and in 12 to the training of Janks omno a na silvan bloton, chospanizaca route a ancistomo Ale value of () the sol while a limit of the

scroper out to symptom possible of the symptom comments and con paints American bull to other reason Transport of the second -lew and we lend on this . O at a way or may to may and in her m and a company many the art will be at a principle of condition and he served women to property out of the company of the deposit . (The second of the second of the second

^{1.} Hore on overeit, or 0.1 of 0.1 - - - 1 1 - - - 1 - - - - 1

(b) Method of proportional changes.

This is very often convenient, being especially applicable to a large number of psychological experiments, and so easy that the result can be approximately seen on inspection. Here,

AMPRITOR, nor loss on to the little and constants and and

$$r-\frac{3}{2}\cdot\frac{a-b}{a-b}$$

where a - the number of cases that have changed in accordance with the supposed correspondence, and b - the number that have changed in contradiction of it. The probable error again comes to 1.1

Suppose, for example, we were demonstrating that intellect-tal fatigue may be satisfactorily investigated by the method of Griessbach. With this view, we have applied his test to 100 boys before and after their lessons. In the latter case 68 of them have presented the expected duller sensitivity, but 32, on the contrary, have shown a finer discrimination than before work.

Now, clearly, had the correspondence been perfect, all the hundred would hve become worse. Thus,

$$\frac{3}{r} = \frac{68 - 32}{200} = 0.54.$$

As the probable error comes to 0.11, our imaginary correlation is five times greater, and therefore would not have occurred by mere accident more than once in 1,250 times; so that we become practically certain that the sensitivity of the skin really does measure fatigue.

It now becomes easy to compare the quantity of this fatigue at different stages of work. Let us say that further experiments, after lessons lasting one hour longer than before, showed the correlation had risen to 0.77. Thereby we see that the influence of fatigue swells from 0.542 to 0.77, that is, from being 1/5 to being 3/5 of all the sources of variation in cutaneous sensitivity. Such a result has a very different scientific significance from, say, any conclusion that the average sensory threshold had enlarged by so many more millimetres.

3. Assuming that is to say that all the hors become Estiqued by

^{1.} Hence, when the correlation is very complete, say over 0.75 the above formula gives appreciably too large values; as the amount reaches 0.90 and 1, the first factor must be reduced from 3/2 to 5/4 and 1 respectively.

^{2.} This, as is well known, consists in determining the least distance apart at which two points of contact can be distinguished as being double and not single.

ייל פתר מייעליי. יין ל ניין פתר איניין ל ניין איני איני אינין איני אינין ל ניין אינין אינין אינין אינין אינין די אינין אינין

the transport of and the property of the new transport of the new transp

nd for a control of the control of t

on the second of the second of

to round control of the round of her control tion is the round of her control of the sensitivity of the control of the control

^{1.} The the sentence is any colors of the \mathbb{R}^n the form of the set of the

^{5.} Lacureton, that is no say, that and the age asseme friighed by

Moreover, our test can be easily and precisely compared with any of the various other recommended procedures; being more reliable than all which present smaller correlations and vice The state of the s versa.

Method of class averages.

It often happens that measurements (or ranks) are known but not in such a way as to be able to use either the method of "product moments" or even any of the methods of Pearson. Under such circumstances, I have found it very useful to be able to apply the following relation: r – d

where d is the observed difference between the average measurement (or rank) of the P's accompanied by Q I and that of those accompanied by Q II, and D is the greatest difference that was possible (such as would have occurred, had the correspondence been perfect). If Q has been divided into two about equal portions, D will be equal to twice the middle or "quartile" deviation from the average in the whole series P; while if Q has been divided after the usual fashion into three such portions, only the two outer ones can be used and then D - 2.87 times the above middle deviation (again taken in the whole series P)

Suppose, for example, that we wish to ascertain whether the well known test of "reaction-time" gives any indication as to the person's general speed of movement. We try a hundred persons both in reaction-time and in speed of running 50 yards. Then we divide the reaction-time records into two classes. I containing all the quickest performers and II al the slowest. We now see how long these two classes of reacters took respectively to run the fifty yards, and what was the middle deviation from the average among all the runners taken together. Let us put the average of class I at 6 seconds, that of class II at 6.5 seconds, and the general middle deviation at 1.1 seconds. Then

$$r = \frac{6.5 - 6}{2 \times 1.1} = 0.23$$

The evidential value of the result is given approximately, even for small values of n, by the following relation:

Probable error
$$-\frac{1.17}{\sqrt{n}}$$
 $\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{2}$

where n is the total number of cases considered. In the three-

Transmitted to and and appropriated that we have for your morney

School and the state of the sta

1 - 2

5.0 = - 1.1 / 5

The videm nel value o the result in aven appro-il all

- or a not be agreed the contract of the souls

fold instead of twofold division, the probable error becomes nearly

 $\frac{1.4}{\sqrt{n}} \qquad \frac{\sqrt{n-1}}{\sqrt{n-2}}$

In the above instance, we find that the observed correlation is little over double the probable error; as so much would turn up about once in six times by mere accident, the evidence is not at all conclusive. Therefore we must either observe many more cases - 600 would be necessary to reduce the probable error to 1/5th of the correlation - or else we must fond a better method of calculation. If rank had been employed instead of measurement, the evidence would already have been fairly good, and could have been put beyond all reproach by the addition of another 150 observations. If rank had been employed in conjunction with the methof of "product mements" or that of "rank differences," the required smallness of probable error could have been obtained by as few as 36 cases in all!

The method of "class averages" is especially valuable in deciphering the results of other investigators, where the average performances and the middle deviations are usually given (in good work), but not the data required for any of the other methods.

(d) Method of rank differences.

This method appears to deserve mention also, seeing that it seems to unite the facility of the auxiliary methods with a maximum accuracy like that given by "product moments". It depends upon noting how much each individual's rank in the one faculty differs from his rank in the other one; evidently this will be nil when the correlation is perfect, and will increase as the correlation diminishes.

1. This general idea seems to have been first due to Binet and Henri ("La fatigue intellectuelle" p.252-261), who, however do not work it out far enough to obtain any definite measure of correlation. Accordingly, Binet makes little further attempt in later research (L'annee psychologique, Vol.IV) to render it of service, and soon appears to have altogether dropped it (L'annee psychologique, Vol. VI.).

The same isea occurred to myself and was developed as above, without being at the time acquainted with the previous work in this direction by Binet and Henri. In obtaining the above formulae I was greatly assisted by Dr. G. Lipps' showing generally that when an urn contains n balls numbered 1,2,3,..n, respectively; and when they are all drawn in turn (without being replaced); and when the difference is each time noted between the number on the ball and the order of its drawing; then the most probable (or middle) total sum of such differences, added together without regard to sign, will be

 $-\frac{n^2-1}{3}$

Previously I had only calculated this value for each particular size of n required by myself. Prof. Hausdorff further showed, generally, that such sum of differences will present a mean square deviation (from the above most probable value)

 $-\sqrt{\frac{(n+1)(2n^2+7)}{45}}$

of items of total day, the man la more become CA THOUT

the line dance of the factor of the contract of the state of un moont one in ste dome to his hotient, the editor to מר בין היה עולי. בין היה בין אור בין היה היא היה היה בין היה ב ביבר פנו – מין היה בין אור מין היה אור בין היא אור היה בין היה ror / of rill or in a tribar - or in the ler dedoute office of the experience notice of his or his deal of the rocd, who could not hear the man of rearrant or the -ne go i but mas 31 . soolt when but ton me no int the Planta real cont so topolic of the actions at favola - open I welfine factuare and ', premare to bed to life of all as a market of tenies or and evel bis or read of m ridely derivate and the contraction of the contra

ordinaria de cara de distantante de la conficiencia of the virtual content of the conten . He of the trans of Le gill of the site o

· it is the contract of the co

It is cited to in out or syling of the left of the left a Mis coult a registro of the entities of the entitles the live to the article at the telegraph of the feet and the second of t er and flit in the control for any firm fit dist de et en en en en en en en en en

in an of the state the first of the contract of the first of th to the standard of the control of the standard of the control of

ביו וו ל.ווו וווייניין לו יו ווייני אל ווא מועייטוביי אבו Nove. - though the time constant . ith the .m. in the state of the contract o the minimum type at the minimum to the type with the minimum to the type with the minimum to the type of type of the type of type of type of the type of t וו שורה (דון מי ל ייוו בייוו בייוו בייוו בייוו הייוו לייוו ווייוניים ולייוו ווייוניים ולייוו ווייוניים ולייוו all the if a discount of the contract of the c in the transfer of the entropy of the transfer of to I u of mach I hard s. I d to e h r ' hour 1 - 700 -

"revious to out v sitt is tole and I decreat" סי זי זי זו וועובו בי ער יואפי. דס . דעווי ידג עדור ד and the second of the transfer of the second will request.

S ALM DOTTO !! The relation is as follows:

$$R = 1 - \underbrace{3Sd}_{n^2 - 1}$$

state of grant a back where Sd is the sum of the differences of rank for all the indivkduals.

n is the total number of individuals,

and R is the required correlation.

The probable error will then be approximately, even for

THESE BY ALL

small values of n, $-0.4/\sqrt{n}$. To take again the example from p.9, we number the five persons according to their order of merit in hearing and seeing respectively.

Committee of the last

muliche.

70 11 11 1 10

person	seeing rank	hearing rank	difference
A	of 1 days	1	0
В	2 2	4	2
C	3	5	2
D	4. 3	3	activities to the
E	5	2	3.
0.00	THE RESERVE	COMPANY OF LAKE	TO DESCRIPTION
	HER DRIEDLY DONE TO	-	Sd <u>-</u> 8

so/that

indplacement may be presented by the time of an industrial transfer, at us and again we find that there is no correlation, direct or inverse. This method, though very accurate and pre-eminently quick in application, has unfortunately four serious disadvantages. It can be only used for ranks, and not immediately for measurements.

The probable error given is only that showing how great correlations may be expected from pure accident when there is no really existing correspondence between the two characteristics. It does not (like Pearson's probable error for the method of "product moments") directly show how much the observed correlation may be expected to differ by accident from any correspondence. that does exist.

The various possible values of Sd are found to fall into a frequency curve of marked asymmetry; so that we cannot (as in all the other methods here given) take the minus values of R as representing so much inverse correlation. This defect could be remedied mathematically; but there are also other respects in which this side of the frequency curve appears unsuitable for our purpose, so that it is better to treat every cor-

^{1.} This formula becomes slightly incorrect, whenever two or more individuals are bracketed as having precisely the same rank; but the consequent error is usually to be worth considering.

proof of a track of a col

-in-red fire o see the contract of the contrac . I GUY

ni ti ti com no it in in

and the requirement of the continue.

of the little Li Jir to the little of the li r of vito r

0000000111	2010	-71.00	nemaed
ç	1	1.	- 6
2	(°	F,	0
I S	-	2	(T
B _ &=			

and the first that the correlation, direct or the said the bear Into which, then have the war and or - midel with in molt tion, is also the control of the day n - rr vi ti i jex a , i, rr i i i i o i o o dI ur dente.

transfer the contract of the c all and dool of the transfer of the transfer of o really of in corresponding or and in it of the rice rice lion. It does not (limber a month of the continue that סל "מדמים שביים "ו לד כלב" ווכש וכי ד כל מני במיירים corral time at the contract of

The new contract of the contra ביים מותר בי בי של מו יי יינדי ויי ויינדי ויי ויווב . פי מותוול (וווו orior and of a revision of the standard of a me with a serious constant and to orall a remailed at the training of the latter to the terminal of the terminal רפו בי לו בילון בי יום בילון בי s ל הו הי ביי בער סיי בער סיי ביי ל יי פליפי לט לדי פ פי מסד-

ו. בתבר ורבייני מי ממושי בי תורה ב יביידרים, הנסחסדסק להיו מי בירים and two course who have remained your or bounds are an are countrient none agree it thanks to me not a new time. The color

relation as positive (which can always be done by, if necessary,

inverting the order of one of the series).

Finally, this value R is not numerically equivalent to the "r" found by all the other methods, but for chance distributions appears - \(\text{r} \). So far, the proof of this relation is only empirical, but it rests on a large number of cases taken, however, only between 0.20 and 0.60. If it be accepted r can at once be found from the following table; R 0.05 0.10 0.20 0.30 0.40 0.50 0.60 0.70 0.80 0.90 1 r 0.13 0.22 0.34 0.44 0.54 0.63 0.71 0.79 0.86 0.93 1

Part II. Correction of "Systematic Deviations.

1. Systematic Deviations Generally.

In the first part, we have seen that any correlational experiments however extensive, can only be regarded as a "sample" out of the immense reality, and will consequently present a certain amount of accidental deviation from the real general tendency; we have further seen that this accidental deviation is measurable by the "probable error" whose determination, therefore becomes an indispensab; e requisite to all serious research.

But now we are in danger of falling from Sculla into Charybdis. For after laboriously compiling sufficient cases and conscientiously determining the probale error, there exists a very human tendency to cease from labor and inwardly rejoice at having thus risen from common fallacious argument to the serene certainty of mathematics. But whether or not such complacency may be justifiable in pure statistical inquiry, it is at any rate altogether premature in the kind of research that we are at present contemplating; we are not dealing with statistics, but with a line of work so fundamentally different that it may be aptly distinguished by the term of "statisticoids." Here the accidental deviation is not the sole one, nor even the most momentous; there are many other enemies who are unmoved by the most formidable array of figures. These consist in such deviations as, instead of merely being balanced imperperfectly, lie wholly pm the one side or the other. As in ordinary measurements, so too in correlation, we may speak, not only of "accidental" "variable" or "compensating" inaccuracies, but also of "systematic,2" constant," or "non-compensating" ones.

These systematic deviations are of very varied nature, the post insidious being as usual self suggestion. To take, for instance, one of our recent examples, suppose that we have applied the Griessbach test to a number of children before and

commercial of any same of which has believed and from he mailtains A CONTRACT OF MET OF TANKE OUT DISCOURT - If you for those to find you to gate it to select a contract of the party of the to so a Abopenie is fit to the how or a meetle size there ; nime wind at no englishment of more

1 07.5 (0. 05.6 co. 00.6 ch. 0. 00.0 ch. 00.5 co. 0 1 67 40 02 47 47 42 77 42 42 42 43 45 45 46 46 47 47 48 48

-line and description of the control a for the immediate, and all a for the form and the for the the contract of the contract o and the state of t the two transfers of the second secon . 7

-1, replaced in the first of the second of the first of the second of the first of the second of the rough the state of asing the artistic artist of the state of th to the transfer and the state of the same and antended dra for a relation . When the world to a series and the contract of the state o tell present to this and not experience while reduced to and the pullery out the second state of the property of the second And an edition of the comment of the control of the last of the last of the last . act of the first open and the company of the comp have the meetinger! quitefully it set the oils then are set and - c compared the compared the compared the compared to the com the contract of the property of the property of the contract o to the state of the color of the state of th - other classic and the control of t

and a contract the contract of get installed weller - the family of the medition for the The second secon

after their lessons, and have found the desired correlation between fatigue and cutanuous insensitivity it still remains exceedingly difficult to convince ourselves that we executed our tests entirely without favor or affection; for it is almost impossible to determine a series of sensory thresholds without some general tendency, either to bring them towards the desired shape, or else - endeavoring to escape such bias - to force them in the opposite direction. To convince others of our impartiality may be harder still. Even this sort of deviation is to be remedied by our proposed exact method of prof cedure for by it we obtain perfectly definite results which any impartial experimenters may positively corroborate or refute.

2. "Attenuation" by Errors.

From page 3 it will be obvious that a correlation does not simply depend on the amount of concording factors in the two compared series, but solely on the propertion between these concording elements on the one hand and the discording ones on the other. In our examplem it did not matter whether A and B each had one punnd or a thousand pounds in the common funds' but only whether the amount was a small or large fraction of their whole incomes. If the discordance, 1-x, be nil, then the concordance x is thereby perfect, that is, -1; and if the influence of the discordant elements be sufficiently increased, then any concordance will eventually become infinitely small.

To consider a still more concrete example suppose three balls to be rolled along a well-kept lawn; then the various distances they go will be almost perfectly correlated to the various forces with which they were impelled. But let these balls be cast with the same inequalities of force down a rough mountain side; then the respective distances eventually attained will have but faint correspondence to the respective original

Thus it will be clear that here the accidental deviations have a new consequence simultaneous with, but quite didinct from that discussed in the last chapter. For there, they impartially augmented and diminished the correlation, tending in a prolonged series to always more and more perfectly counterbalance one another; and in ordinary measurements, this is their sole But here in correlations, they also have this new effect which is always in the direction of "attenuating" the apparent correspondence and whose amount, depending solely on the size of the middle error, cannot be in the least eliminated by

THE PERSON NAMED AND POST OFFICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY AND POST OF THE PARTY AND POST

THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE

the training has been a the first that the property of the party of th

the man in commercial and in the commercial formation that arrest to the commercial deplete they purpose our other west pour which for Tenner is not stopp

also moreover as under all regular of the restriction.

the part of the security of the contract to

I. This fact has already been mathematically expressed in the last chapter by the value of correlation between two series being proportional (inversely) to the value of the middle deviation inside the series (see p. 15).

of the concrete extra suppose here

the concrete extra tendine distributions of the contractions of the co

if the second of the second of

any prolongation of the series. The deviation has thus become

general or "aystematic."

Now suposse that we wish to ascertain the correspondence between a series of values, p, and another series, q. By practival observation we evidently do not obtain the true objective values, p and q, but only approximations which we will call p' and q'. Obviously, p' is loss closely connected with q' than is p with q. for the first pair only correspond at all by the intermediation of the second pair; the real correspondence between p and q shortly rpq, has been "attenuated" into rp'q'.

To ascertain the amount of this attenuation and thereby discover the true correlation it appears necessary to make two or more independent series of observations of both p and q. Then

where p'q' - the mean of the correlations between each series of values obtained for p with each series obtained for q.

rp'p' - the average correlation between and abother of these several independently obtained series of values for p.

roini _ the same as regards q.

and rpq _ the required real correlation between the true objective values of p and q.

Thus, if for each characteristic two such independent series of observations be made, say $p_1p_2q_1$ and q_2 then the true

Should circumstances happen to render say plauch more accurate than p2 then the correlations involving p1 will be considerably greater than those involving p2. In such case,

the numerator of the above fraction must be formed by the geometrical instead of by the arithmetical mean; hereby the accidental errors of the respective observations cease to eliminate one another and therefore double their final influence; they also introduce an undue diminution of the fraction.

In some exceptional and principally very theoretical cases, it may happen that either of the actual measurements, say p'l is

¹ By an inversion of the above formula, the correlation between two series of oberavations will be found a useful measure of the accuracy of the observation.

many production of the order. The daylands of these commercial on the order

The rest of the state of the st

The state of the s

The state of the s

suggestion contains of the first that the alleges of the containing the containin

Light of the compact that the time with the proof to the compact that the time of the compact the compact that the c

entropies de la contrat company de la contrat co

-: Lord Control Contro

To now exampliant to not retricted by early the area to a making and the company of the company

I do so Lorentales of the meder for old, his ceretified in the control of I and the control of I and the control of the chapter of the chapte

connected with q'(or q) quite independently of p or any other link common to p'2. Then, the correlation rp'q' will be to that extent increased without any proportional increase in rp'p';

hence our above formula will fallaciously present too large a value.

A greater practical diffuculty is that of obtaining two series sufficiently independent of one another. For many errors are likely to repeat themselves; even two separate observers are generally, to some extent warped by the same influences; we are all imposed on by, not only the "Idola Specus," but also the "Idola Tribus" and the "Idola Fori." In such Sase, the above formula is still valid, only, its correction does not go quite far enough, - a fallacy at any rate on the right side.

An actual instance will best show the urgent necessity of correcting this attenuation. In a correlation between two events, say P and Q, I obtained three independent observations both of P and of Q. The average correlation for those of P with those for Q was 0.38 (= rp!q!); the average correlation of those for P with one another was 0.58 (= rp!p!). the same for Q was 0.22 (= rq!q!). Therefore, the correspondence

between the real events, P and Q, comes by reckoning to

approximately 1; so that the correspondence

instead of being merely 0.38, appeared to be absolute and complete.

Attenuation by errors can also be corrected in another manmer, which has the great advantage of an independent empirical
basis, and therefore of not being subject to either of the two
above mentioned fallacies besetting the other method. Hence,
when the results coincide both ways, the fallacies in question
may thereby be considered as disproved, for it is very unlikely
that they should noth be present and in such proportions as to
exactly games one another. In this method, instead of directly

employing the values p₁p₂p₃, etc, we amalgamate them into a single list; by this reanswed clearly deliminate some portion of the individual observational errors, and thereby we cause any really existing correspondence to reveal itself in greater completeness. Now, this increase in correlation from this partial elimination of errors will furnish a measure of the increase to be expected from an entire elimination of errors. Assuming the mean error to be inversely proportional both to this increase in the correlation and to the aquare root of the number of lists amalgamated, the relation will be:

connected the '(a) sate of the connected of portions other line continuity of portions of the connected continuity of the connected continuity of the connected continuity of the connected continuity of the connected connected continuity of the connected c

hence our slove for la filediction of the value of obtinion to relied ufficientl in ... at of one with r. For any approximate ledy to really to really; to really to really to really, to really, to really, to really, to really, to really the real of the really to really the really the really the really the really the real to really the real really the really the really the real really to the really the really the really the real really of the really the really the really the really of t

An ctul! If the vill est who the under trecensity of correcting the attenuation. In a correction between the control vent, by and, I out ined three independent observations both of P of). The average correction for those of P ith this or the average correction of those for this and the correction of the correction

between tim real or nts, and i, comes by rechoning to

approxitatel 1; so that the correspondence of 0.53 0.0

Int ocing orely 0.78, appeared to be shan ute and oom-plate.

Attenue ion by errors can also be corrected in another menmer, .ic. ha. the rest advantage of an independent engirloss
bais, of tarefor of not bein subject to either of the two
above tioned fillaries basetting the other met od. Hence,
then the result coincide both out, the fallaries in protion
ay thereby be considered as discoved, for it is very unlikely
that her longer of the considered as the fall of the considered as the considered as

end of the list; by this served to the content of the interesting of the invidual observations or organized and a reby end of the same religious of the invitation of correspondence in correlation from this process to the increase in correlation from the increase to correlation of errors the furnish a measure of the increase to extent of the increase to the increase to the end of the increase to the increase the correction of errors. In the increase the correction of errors the increase the correction of errors the increase the correction of the filt increase of the correction of the correct

connected with q' (or q) quite independently of p or any other link common to p' Then, the correlation rp'q' will be to that extent increased without any proportional increase in rp'p'; hence our above formula will fallaciously present too large a value.

A greater practical difficulty is that of obtaining two series sufficiently independent of one another. For many errors are likely to repeat themselves; even two separate observers are generally, to some extent, warped by the same influences; we are all imposed on by, not only the "Idola Specus," but also the "Idola Tribus" andthe "Idola Fori." In such cases, the abobe formula is still valid, only its correction does not go quite far enough, - a fallacy at any rate on the right side.

An actual instance will best show the urgent necessity of correcting this attenuation. In a correlation between two events, say P and Q, I obtained three independent observations both of P and of Q. The average correlation for those of P with those for Q was 0.38 (= rp'q'); the average correlation of those for P with one another was 0.58 (= rp'p'); the same for Q was 0.22 (= rq'q'). Therefore, the correspondence between the real events, P and Q comes by reckoning to

 $\frac{0.38}{\sqrt{0.58 \times 0.22}}$ approximately 1; so the the correspondence

instead of being merely,0.38, appeared to be absolute and complete.

Attenuation by errors can also be errected in another man-ner, which has the great advantage of an independent empirical basis, and therefore of not being subject to either of the two above mentioned fallacies besetting the other method. Hence, when the results coincide both ways, the fallacies in question may thereby be considered as disproved, for it is very unlikely that they should both be present and in such proportions as to exactly cancel one another. In this method, instead of directly employing the values p1 p2 p3, etc. we amalgamate them into a single list; by this means we clearly eliminate some portion of the individual observational errors, and thereby we cause any really existing correspondence to reveal itself in greater completeness. Now, this increase in correlation from this parytial elimination or errors will furnish a measure of the increase to be expected from an entire elimination of errors. the mean error to be inversely proportional both to this increase in the correlation and to the square root of the number of lists amalgamated, the relation will be:

$$\mathbf{r}_{pq} = \frac{\sqrt{mn \cdot r_{p' \cdot q'} - r_{p' \cdot q'}}}{\sqrt{mn - 1}}$$

The total to the second of the

The rest to 1 1 to 1 to

fry 2 - 0.7 - '). I - 0r, to record to the contract of the con

hard to the ten of the region of the region

ror of the other mannr, which is the transfer of the transfer of the transfer of the two
of the transfer of

where m and n - the number of independent gradings for p and q respectively, SCHOOL SON PROPERTY. the the second of the second of the

rp'q' = the mean correlation between the various gradings for p and those for q,

the correlation of the amalgamated series for p with the amalgamated series for q.

In the above quoted instance, the three observations for series P were amalgamated into a single list, and similarly those for series Q. Upon this being done, the two amalgamated lists now presented a correlation with one another of no less than $0.66(\underline{\underline{r}}_{p^n})$. Thus by this mode of reckoning, the real correspondence became

 $\frac{4}{3\times3\times0.66}$ - 0.38 = once more approximately 1,

so that this way also the correspondence advanced from 0.38 to absolute completeness.

THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICHIGAN PROPERTY.

If more than two independent series of observations are available, we may acquire additional evilence by trying the effect of partial amalgamation. Instead of throwing all our obtained values together, we may form a set of smaller combihations for each of the two compared characteristics, and then see the mean correlation between one set and the other. In our obove instance instead of summarily considering p'1 p'2 p'3, we can have pi pi pi and pi pi and find out their mean correlation with similar values for q. This works out

actually to 0.55. Hence $\frac{4}{2 \times 2 \times 0.55} = 0.38 = \text{approximately 1.}$ 4/2×2-1

Thus again, by this third may, where both terms are the mean of 9 observed correlational values, the correspondence once more rises from the apparent 0.38 to the real 1. (1)

3. Limits of Associative Problems. We have seen that "the length of the arm is said to be correlated with that of the leg, because a person with a long arm has usually a long leg and conversely;" also that this correlation is defined mathematically by any constant which deter-

(1) The exactness of the coincidence between the two methods of correction is in the above instance neither greater nor less than generlly coours in practice. It was singled out, in order to show that the formulae still hold perfectly good even for such an enormous rise as from 0.38 to 1. The posibility of such a rise is due to the unusual conditions of the experiment in question, whereby the three observations of the same objective series presented the extraordinarily small intercorrelation of 0.22.

accomplished application of the complete questioning the property accomplished by the property accomplished the property accomplished the property accomplished the property accomplished to t

where we have to be a some of the story of t

rp'q' = to norr 1 tin et en the veriou

and real tion of the selection of the series or g.

I lett o ritins "r ri Pwm tdion in lelit, rduilly tlee er e. Ton tli ii in, the to lett td lit er tlee or lei in center cfo td lit. (- "). Let e of rimi;

of the time of the derivation of the of the object of the

If o clubraterie for the rise to the rest avidable of the rest of the rest of the rest of the rest of the control of the rest of the rest

x = 2 × (0.75 - 0.3) = 20. rovi - 1y 1.

of riving the title of the term of the real of the real or real from the course of the real field of t

or ri fro h ... rt ... ro th re l l. (1)

3. ... it ... coil w ... ro th ... ro th ... ro this con ...

u ... y ... rec... ... rec... ro this con ...

1 tic 1 ... rec... Ly y ... y ... rec. this d t...

ornication to the state of the

Mines the function of any definite size of arm to the mean of the sizes of the corresponding legs. These terms, taken literally, are very wide reaching and express what we will call the "universal" correlation between the two organs.

But evidently not the most painstaking investigation can possibly secure any adequately representative sample for such universal correlations, even in the simple case of arms and legs. To begin with, they would have to be equally derived from every stage of growth, including the prenatal period; since this is the most influencial of all causes of variation in size. In the next place, they would have to come from every historical epoch, containing their fair proportion or big Cro-Magnons, little Futicozers, etc. Further, they must impartially include every living race, from the great Patagonians to the diminutive M'Kabbas; also every social class, from the tall aristocrats to the undersized slummers.

Practically, then, the universal correlation, even if desirable, is quite inaccessible. We are forced to successively introduce a large number of restrictions: the sample is confined to adults, to moderns, to some particular country, etc., etc. In a word, we are obliged to deal with a special correlation.

When we proceed to more narrowly considered these restrictions, it soon becomes clear that they are far from being really detrimental. For every serious investigation will be found to be directed, however vaguely and unconsciously, by some hypothesis as to the causes both of the correspondence and of the digression therefrom. (see page 74). This hypothesis will determine a particular system of restriction, such as to set the correspondence in the most significant relief.

But from these restrictions will at the seme time proceed several kinds of grave errors. In the first place, since the restrictions are not explicitly recognized, they often are not carried out in a manner scientifically profitable; they then, the result, however true, may nevertheless be trivial and unsurgestive. For instance, a series of experiments was recently executed by one of our best known psychologists and ended - to his apparent satisfaction - in showing that some children's school-order was largely, correlated with their height, weight and strength. As, however, no step had been taken to exclude the variations due to difference of age, the only reasonable conclusion seemed to be that as children grow oller they turned out in fact to probably be the true and sufficient one.

The next fault to be feared is equivocality. For even if the controlling underthought be good, yet its indistinctness in the mind of the experimenter caused the restriction to be carried out so unsystematically, that the results inevitable known become ambiguous and fruitless.

The last is that, even with the clearest purpose, this apecialization of the correlation is an exceedingly difficult matter to execute successfully/ Only by a profound knowledge of the many factors involved, can we at all adequately exclude these irrelevant to our main intention.

Now, all such elements in a correlation as are fereigh to the investigator's explicit or implicit purpose will, like the attuating errors, constitute imputities in it and will quantitatively falsify its appraent amount. This will chiefly happen in two ways.

In a line in the second of the

4. "Constriction"and"Dilation."

Any correlation of either of the considered characteristics will have been admitted irrelevantly, if it has supervened irrespectively of the original definition of the correspondence to be investigated. The variations are thereby illegitimately constrained to follow some irrelevant direction so that (as in the case of Attenuation) they no longer possess full amplityde of possible correlation in the investigated direction; the maximum instead of being I will be only a fraction, and all the lesser degrees of correspondence will be similarly affected; such a falsification may be called "constriction." Much more rarely, the converse or "dilation" will occur, by correlations being irrelevantly excluded. The disturbance i measureable by the following relation:

where r pg = the apparent corrolation of p and q. the two variables to be compared,

row = the correlation of one of the above variables with a third and irrelevantly admitted variable v.

and rpq = the real correlation between p and q after compensating for the ligitimate influence of v.

Chould any further irrelevant correlation, say rpm, be admitted, then

$$r_{pq} = \frac{r^1}{\sqrt{1 - r^2}} pq$$

In the reverse case of "dilation,"

$$r_{pq} = r^1_{pq} \sqrt{1 - r^2_{pq}} - r^2_{pq}$$

there formulae will be easily senn to be at once derivable from the relations stated on pages 74 and 75. Small, irrelevant variations evidently do not affect the result in any sensible degree, while large ones are capable of revolutionizing it.

The following is an actual illustration of this constriction. I was investigating the correspondence between on the one hand intelligence of school lesson and on the other the faculty of discrimination of musical pitch. The correlation proved to be 0.49. But, upon inquiry, it turned out that more than half of the children took lessons in music and there fore enjoyed artificial training as regards pitch; here, then, was a powerful cause of variation additional and quite irrelevant to the research, which dealt with the correspondence between the two natural faculties. When this disturvant had once been ditected, there was no difficulty in eliminating it influence by the above formula; the correspondence between pitch and discrimination and music lessons was measured at 0.61; so that the true required correlation became

. If if it were there is a

production to the second of th

Total Principal Control of the Contr

man and the state of the state

", more of the comment of the comment of

In this particular case, the more desirable course was open of elimination the constriction, practically, by confining the experiment to those children who were learning music and therefore were on a sufficient equality as regards the training. The correlation then gained in this purely empirical way exactly coincided with the former results, being again 0.62.

SECRETARIAN CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY AND PERSONS ASSESSMENT OF THE PARTY AND PARTY AND

5. "Distortion."

Whereas Attention and Constriction have wholly tended to reduce the appearent correlation, and Dilation to enlarge it, we now come to a third kind of impurity that may equally well reduce or enlarge. Its effects is thus amalogous to the first consequence of accidental errors discussed in the first part of this article, but unlike the latter, this Distortion does not in the least tend to eliminate itself in the longest series of observations.

Distortion occurs whenever the two series of to be compared together both correspond to any appreciable degree with the same third irrevant variant. In this case, the relation is given by

$$\mathbf{r}_{pq} = \frac{\mathbf{r}^{1}}{\sqrt{1 - 1^{2}pv}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_{qv}}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{r}^{2}qv}} \cdot \frac{\mathbf{r}_{qv}}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{r}^{2}qv}}$$

where r pq = the apparent correlation between p and q, the two characte istics to be compared,

 r_{pv} and r_{qv} = the correlations of pand q with some third and perturbing variable v.

and rpq = the required real correlation between p and q after compensating for the illigitimets influence of v.

Should the common correspondence with v have been irrelevantly excluded instead of admitted, the relation becomes

of admitted, the relation becomes
$$r_{pq} = r^{1}_{pq} \cdot \sqrt{(1 - r^{2}_{pv}) \cdot (1 - r^{2}_{qv}) + r_{pv}} \cdot r_{qv}$$

In the course of the same investigation above alluded to, but in another school, the correlation between school intelligence and discrimination of pitch turned out to be - 0.25, so that apparently not the eleverer but the stupider children could discriminate best! But now it was observed that a superiority in discrimination had been shown by the olde children, amounting to a correlation of 0.55; while, for a then unknown reason, the schoolmaster's estimate of intelligence had shoen a very marked (though unconscious) partiality for the younger ones, amounting to a correlation of 0.65. Hence the true correlation reckoned out to

$$\frac{-0.25 - 0.55 \times (-0.65)}{\sqrt{(1 - 0.55^2) (1 - -0.65)^2}}$$

= +0.17. This latter low but direct correlation was - under the particular 1. This same formular has already been arrived at, through along a very different route, by Yule. See Proc. R.S.L. Vol. IX.

t. Planting to

company to the company of the compan

estrance to be a constitute of an interest of the very series of the v

off of with the second of the

The second of th

circumstances of the experiment - unquestionably about correct; so that the one originally observed of = -0.25 would have been entirely misleading.

6. Criticism of Prevelent Working Methods.

So far, cur illustration of systematic deviation has been confined to instances taken from personal experience. But it might perhaps be thought that other workers avoid such perversions of fact by the simpler method of common sense. Unforutnately, such does not seem to have been at all the case; not once, to the best of my knowledge, has any partial association between two psychological events been determined in such a way as to present any good evidential value - these are strong terms, but I think, hardly exaggerated.

Psychologists, with scarcely an exception, never seem to have become acquainted with the brilliant work being carried on since 1886 by the Galton-Pearson school. The consequence has been that they do not even attain to the first fundamental requisite of correlation, namely, a presise quantitative expression. Many have, indeed, taken great pains in the matter and have constructed arrays of complicated numerical tables; but when we succeed in orienting ourselves in the somewhat bewildering assemblage of figures, we generally find that they have omitted precisely the few facts which are essential, so that we cannot even work out the correlation for curselves.

This lack of quantitaive expression entails fat more than merely diminished exactitude. For in consequence, the expreimenters have been unable to estimate their own results at all carrectly, some have believed themselves to demonstrate an entire absence of correspondence, when the fatter has really been quite considerable; whereas others have presented to the public as a high correlation what has really been very small and often well within the limits of mere accidental coincidence; these limits they have had no me as of determining, and moreover their data were usually obtained in such a way as to make it unnecessarily large.

Seeing, thus, that even the elementary requirements of good correlation work described in the first part of this article have been so generally deficient we cannot be surprised to find that the moreaddhneed refinements of precedure discussed in the second part have been almost wholly unregarded; so that the final results are saturated and falsified with every description of impurity. In this respect, unfortunately, it is no longer possible to hold up even the Galton-Perrson school as a model to be imitated. The latter must now perform the very differ ht office of saving us from detailed criticism of inferior work, by enabling us to form an opinion as to how Entfar the defect permeates and vitiates even the best existent correlational research.

As example, we will take Pearson's chief line of investigation, Collateral He edity, at that point where it comes into closest contact with our own topic, Psychology. Since 1989 he has, with government sanction and assistance, been collecting a vast number of data as to the amount of correspondence existing between brothers. A perliminary calculation, based in each case upon 800 to 1,000 pairs, led, in 1910 to the publication of the following momentous results:

of particles of the expellent a manufacture of the particle of

with the design to the second of the second

Coefficients of Collateral Heredity. Collegation of Pairs of Brothers.

Physical Characters.		Mental Character	. Mental Characters.	
(Family Monsurements)		(School Observation	(School Coservations)	
Stature Ferenm Span Lye-colour	0.5107 0.4918 0.5494 0.5169	Intelligence Vivacity Conscientiousness Popularity Temper	0.4559 0.4702 0.5929 0.5044 0.5068	
(School Observa Cophelic index Hair Colour Realth	tions) 0.4861 0.5452 0.5503	Self-conèciouaness Shyness	0.5915	
lien	0.5171	Moan	0.5214	

THE REAL PROPERTY.

Dealing with the means for physical and mental characters, we are forced touths ipadfactly oddicity the organisation of the physical nature, the outcome of heredity factors,

Now let us consider how these coefficients of correlation will be effected by our "systematic deviations." To begin with there is the "Attenuation" by errors; since it evidently cannot be assumed that the schoolmasters' judgments as to conscientiousness, temper, etc. are absolutely infallible. On page 90, it has been shown that deviation from this source may be estimated by the following dormula:

rpq =
$$\sqrt{r_{p^*p^*} \cdot r_{q^*p^*}}$$

To accertain r p'p' and r p'q', I am ewere of no precise data meimean beyond that found in some experiments of my own, where the independent intellectual gradings for the same series of subjects correlated with one another on an average to the amount of -.64. As an other occasions very competent persons have estimated this to be as much as should be expected, as as intellegence is about the most easily gradable of all the mental qualities mentioned by Pearson, t there is so for no reason to suppose that his "great number of masters and mistresses" did on the whole any better. Hence, even if we could assume that the mistakes in estimating one brother were independent of the mistakes in estimating the other, then the true correlation would be about, not 0.5172,

but 0.5172 = 0.81, an extent of difference that seriously $\sqrt{6.64}$ X 0.84 modifies our impression of exactitude from all these coefficients to four

places of decimals. When we further consider that each of these physical and

Agrical and Agrica		ATTITUTE TO DESCRIPTION	
(7	(in the second	E-to-document	154-07
			10 to
120.0	$\mu = U$	i	PH-C

Storm with a freezen or to ever to digit, and they to the to

the Language could be some and without the course of all of the analysis.

mental heredity can hardly be more than mere accidental chincidence.

Let us next proceed to irrelevant correlation, and take for our theme postnatal accidents connected on the one side with brotherhood and on the other with the mental qualities. Pearson's primary intention seems to have been to make his correlations as "universal" as possible and in one place he expressly mentions that education is smong the causes contributory to variation. Hence, he is more than consistent, in that he forms his correlation without regard to the fact that the correspondence between the brothers' "conscientiousness." "popularity," etc., must be in great measure due to their coming under the same home influences. But such a correlation can scarcely be accopted as scientifically valuable. Fo we do not really know anything precise about the assimilating effects of heredity, when our observed correspondence is perhaps chiefly due to the brothers having the same emount of hampers and pocket-money. Still less can we, then, fairly compare such results with that obtained from physical measurements, where common home life has little or no effect. The factor of post-natal accidents. therefore, cannot but be regarded as irrelevant, and consequently the coefficients of correlation must be taken as hopelessly "distorted."

But even consistence cannot be upheld throughout the matter. For though the effect of postnatal life has thus been admitted with regard to education at home, it has perforce been excluded as regards public education. For only those brothers have been compared together who are at the same school; the coefficients of correlation would certainly diminish if those also could be included who are living in a totally different manner, have gone to see, etc., The correlations are therefore also illegitimately "diluted."

If this work of Pearson has thus been singled out for criticism. it is certainly from no desire to undervalue it. The above and any other systematic errors are exentually capable of adequate elimination, and this article has itself, it is hoped been of some use towards that purpose. Such correction will no doubt necessitate an immense amount of further investigation and labour, but in the end his results will acquire all their proper validity. My present object is only to gu rd against premature conclusions and to point out the urgent need os still further improving the existing methodics of correlation work, a mothod of investigation which he mimself has so largely helped to create and by means of which he is carrying light into immense regions hitherto buired inthe obscurity of irresponsible speculation. The fundamental difference between his prodedure and that here racommended, is that he seeks large natural samples of any existing series sufficiently homogeneous to be treated mathematically; whereas here smaller samples are deemed sufficient, but they are required to be artificially selected, ordered, and corrected into full scientific significance. His methods are those of pure statistics those inculcated here may be more aptly termed "statisticoids."

7. Number of Cases Desirable for an Experiment.

This leads us to the important question, as to how many cases it it advisable to collect for a single series of experiments. In actual practice, the greatest diversity has been apparent in this respect; many have thought to sufficiently establish important correlations with less than ten experimental subjects while others have thought it necessary to gather together at least over a thousand.

and the first of the last of t

A THE REAL PROPERTY AND A STREET AT

Now, a series of experiments is a very limited extract, whose disposition is, nevertheless, to be accepted as a fier sample of the whole immens remainder. Other things equal, then, the larger the sample the greater its evidential value and the less chance of a more occassional coincidence being mistaken for the permanent universal tendency.

This danger of accidental deviation has been discussed in the first part and there shown to be strictly measurable by the "probable error." We there saw, also, that this danger can hever be entirely eliminated by any sample however large, so that it is necessary to accept some standard less rigorous than absolute certainty as sufficient for all practical purposes; usually, the danger of ners chance coincidence is considered to be inappreciable when a correlation is observed as much as five times greater than the probable error, seeing that mere chance would not produce this once in a thousand times. Hence, evidently, the accidental deviation depends, not only on the murber of cases, but also on the largoness of the really existing correspondence; the more perfect the latter, the fewer the cases that will be required to demonstrate it conclusively; and this tendency is augmented by the fact that the probable error, besides varying inversely with "n". does so to a further extent with "r" (see formula). It was shown in the same part that the size of the probable error also varies accordingly to the method of calculation- and to such an extent that twenty cases treated in one of the ways described furnishes as much certitude as 180 in another more usual way. If the common trifold classification be adopted, an even preater number is required to effect the same purpose; and if the cerrelation be not calculated quantitatively at all, but instead be presented in the customary fashion to the reader's general impression, then no number of cases whatever apprear sufficient to give reasonable guarantee of proof.

While this the number of subjects is not by any means the sole factor in diminishing even the accidental deviation it has no effect whatever upon the far more formidable systematic de iation except that it indirectly loads to an enormous augmentation thereof. When we are taking great pains to be able to show upon paper an imposing number of cases and a distinutive probable error, we are in the self same provess most likely introducing a systematic deviation twenty times greater.

From this we may gather that the number of cases should be determined by the simple principle, that the measurements to be aggregated together should have their error brought to the same general order of magnitude. An astronomical chronomater, with spring-detent excapement, is not the best travelling clock; nor is there any real advantage in gracing upon a milestone (as has actually been done by an infatuated mathematician!) the distance to the nearest village in metres to three decimal places. Now, the present stage of Correlational Psychology is one of pioneering; and, instead of a few unwieldy experiments, we require a large number of small ones carefully carried out under varied and well considered conditions. At the same time, however, the probable error must be kept down to limits at any rate small enough for the particular object of investigation to be proved. For such a purpose a probable error may at present be admitted without much hasitation up to about 0.05; so that, by adopting the method of calculation recommended, two to three dozen subjects should be sufficient for most purposes. The precision can always be augmented subsequently, by carrying our similar experiments under similar conditions and then taking averages. Only after a long preliminary exploration of this rougher sort, shall we by in a position to effectually utilize experiments designed and executed from the very

beginning on a vast scale.

the state of the s The second secon whose many colorests that a see a series and the second to Out to the second of the secon send so pulse a consideration of the pulse of the property of the party of the party of the pulse of the puls and the second of the second o the state of the s · - Id and a subject of the subject and the page of th May be an arranged to the first than the second of the sec would be type a strong price of the area of 1 260 of 10 Telephone at 100 Mark at men and a fall of the state man and information and suppressed to the state of the st and all or of the latter of th facely are to the application of the application of the same of the same and the same of t LOCAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF machine and the state of the st the state of the s the second terms of the second . 12 10 110 20 20 100

give and man present by the fact that are not to be and the same of the same o

ACTUAL RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY NAMED IN









PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE
CARDS OR SLIPS FROM THIS POCKET

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARY

Spearman, Charles Edward
The proof and measurement of
association between two things

